

NATURE OF CHARGE: Adulteration, Section 501(c), the purity and quality of the article fell below that which it purported and was represented to possess.

Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statements "Sterilastic First Aid Bandage * * * Surgical Dressing * * * The gauze supplied with Sterilastic may be used in an emergency" were false and misleading as applied to the article, which was not sterile.

DISPOSITION: December 28, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

DRUGS AND DEVICES ACTIONABLE BECAUSE OF FALSE AND MISLEADING CLAIMS

DRUGS FOR HUMAN USE*

2983. Misbranding of Sulphocol capsules. U. S. v. 251 Bottles * * *.
(F. D. C. No. 27754. Sample No. 61249-K.)

LIBEL FILED: September 19, 1949, Eastern District of Missouri; Amended libel filed on or about September 27, 1949.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about June 10 and July 8, 1949, by the National Drug Co., from Philadelphia, Pa.

PRODUCT: 251 100-capsule bottles of *Sulphocol capsules* at St. Louis, Mo. Examination showed that the product consisted of capsules containing a brownish-white powder consisting in part of sulfur.

LABEL, IN PART: "Capsules Sulphocol 5 grains (0.3 Gm.) Colloidal Sulfur Compound."

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement "For use where sulfur therapy is indicated as in chronic arthritis and allied conditions" was false and misleading since the article was not of benefit in these conditions.

DISPOSITION: November 10, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2984. Misbranding of Slim-O. U. S. v. 197 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 28020.
Sample No. 51355-K.)

LIBEL FILED: October 4, 1949, Southern District of Ohio.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about September 7, 1949, by Beauty Sales, from Hollywood, Calif.

PRODUCT: 197 7-ounce bottles of *Slim-O* at Cincinnati, Ohio, together with a number of leaflets entitled "Beauty Sales" and a newspaper mat entitled "Lose Excess Fat With Slim-O" at Cincinnati, Ohio.

Examination disclosed that the product consisted of sodium sulfate, sodium carbonate, and citric acid.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), certain statements on the bottle label and similar statements in the circular and newspaper mat were false and misleading since the product would not be effective for the purposes stated and implied: "Slim-O [Picture of a slender female] Easiest, Safest Way to a Beautiful, Glamorous, Trimline Figure A More Lovely Figure The Easy Slim-O Way * * * Slim-O will help you take off inches of excess fat in the right spots, leaving the skin firm * * * No more worry about

*See also Nos. 2973, 2976, 2981, 2982.

sagging tissues and wrinkles * * * Slim-O is the newest, easiest way to lose excess fat and maintain a beautiful figure—always.”

DISPOSITION: November 9, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2985. Misbranding of mineral oil. U. S. v. 108 Bottles * * *. (F. D. C. No. 27682. Sample No. 55206-K.)

LIBEL FILED: On or about August 9, 1949, District of Kansas.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about November 5, 1948, by Rozelle, Inc., from St. Louis, Mo.

PRODUCT: 108 1-pint bottles of *mineral oil* at Hutchinson, Kans.

LABEL, IN PART: “Imperial Brand Mineral Oil.”

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the label statement “A satisfactory internal lubricant for * * * nursing or expectant mothers” was false and misleading since the article was not a safe drug for use by nursing or expectant mothers.

DISPOSITION: September 30, 1949. Default decree of condemnation and destruction.

2986. Misbranding of Doctor's Prescription Rx 7-11. U. S. v. 136 Bottles, etc. (F. D. C. No. 27461. Sample Nos. 55260-K, 55549-K.)

LIBEL FILED: On on about July 20, 1949, Western District of Missouri.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: On or about June 6, 1949, by the Murrell Laboratories, from Norman, Okla.

PRODUCT: 136 bottles of *Doctor's Prescription Rx 7-11* at Kansas City, Mo., together with a number of leaflets entitled “say goodbye to all scalp disorders.” Examination showed that the product consisted essentially of water, alcohol, and glycerin, and small proportions of sulfanilamide and sulfathiazole, and red coloring matter.

NATURE OF CHARGE: Misbranding, Section 502 (a), the following statements in the leaflets were false and misleading since the article was not effective in the treatment of the conditions stated and implied: “say goodbye to all scalp disorders * * * a scalp treatment * * * Relieves your scalp of * * * Dermatitis and Seborrheic * * * rid your scalp of Scales.”

DISPOSITION: December 6, 1949. Default decree of destruction.

2987. Misbranding of Radiant Ozone Generators. U. S. v. 1 Device * * * (and 6 other seizure actions). Tried to the court and jury. Verdict for the Government. Decree of condemnation. (F. D. C. Nos. 25779, 27358 to 27362, incl., 27829. Sample Nos. 21777-K, 29277-K to 29280-K, incl., 29780-K, 49801-K, 49802-K.)

LIBELS FILED: September 29, 1948, and July 18 and September 12, 1949, District of Colorado and Western District of Oklahoma.

ALLEGED SHIPMENT: Between the approximate dates of May 25, 1948, and April 23, 1949, by J. C. Gage and the Ozone Clinic, from Kansas City, Mo.

PRODUCT: 12 *Radiant Ozone Generators* at Oklahoma City, Okla., and Lamar and Denver, Colo., together with a number of leaflets and circulars entitled “The Radiant Ozone Generator,” “A Few Suggestions,” and “How to Use the Radiant Ozone Generator For the Best Results at Home,” and a number of pages of testimonials. Examination showed that the device consisted of a